

1



F.No.89-282/E-171822/2020 Appeal/4th Mtg.-2021/21st January, 2021

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 15/02/2021

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Raksha Devi Uchchatarr Madhyamik Vidhyalaya, Khimsepur, Lodhinagar, Sadar, Farrukhabad, Uttar Pradesh dated 01/09/2020 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-14416/313th(Part-1)Meeting/2020/208498 dated 09.07.2020 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that "as per SOP, two SCNs u/s 17 have already been issued. Therefore, the recognition of the institution may be withdrawn as enough opportunities have been given to the institution."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Amit Kumar, Officer Staff, Raksha Devi Uchchatarr Madhyamik Vidhyalaya, Khimsepur, Lodhinagar, Sadar, Farrukhabad, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 21/01/2021. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "we have not received any communication/show cause notice from the NRC, NCTE. Since no letter/show cause notice/ communication was delivered by the post office, therefor we could not submit any reply. We have checked the local post office, the Postmaster, Khimsepur, has issued a certificate dated 25-03-2020 about non receipt / delivery of the show cause notices."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution was granted recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. programme by issue of a combined recognition order dated 02/05/2016. Appeal Committee further noted that an online complaint dated 24/08/2017 was received in the office of NRC alleging that appellant institution does not

Copy of the order

have any graduation level course. Copy of the complaint was forwarded to appellant institution by NRC by its letter dated 27/09/2017 for seeking comments within 30 days.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution failed to furnish its comments on the allegations levelled in the complaint which resulted in issued of two Show Cause Notice (SCNs) dated 23/02/2018 and 02/08/2019. Appellant institution did not reply to the SCNs and has now stated in its appeal memoranda that none of the communications was received by them.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution, as is evident by its name, is a Sr. Secondary School. The appellant institution, therefore, did not qualify for grant of recognition on grounds definition of 'Composite Institution' given in Clause 2 (b) of NCTE Regulation, 2014. It is also difficult to believe that appellant institution did not receive all the three communications dated 27/09/2017, 23/02/2018 and 02/08/2019. Appellant after getting the impugned order of withdrawal dated 09/07/2020 preferred appeal dated 01/09/2020 hard copy of which was received on 11/12/2020. Appellant institution did not comment on its 'Composite' status even in the appeal memoranda and oral submissions made during appeal hearing on 21/01/2021. It is incumbent upon the institution to have enquired from the Regional Committee to know about the deficiency and put up a rectification report. Appellant institution is required to submit evidence of it being a 'Composite Institution' as defined under Clause 2 (b) of NCTE Regulation, 2014 within 15 days of the issue of Appeal order, Appeal Committee further decided that NRC on receipt of reply of appellant institution, should revisit the matter and decide the case as per NCTE Regulation, 2014.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online submission, Appeal Committee concluded NRC on receipt of reply of appellant institution, should revisit the matter and decide the case as per NCTE Regulation, 2014.

Resg T. D. S.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Raksha Devi Uchchatarr Madhyamik Vidhyalaya, Khimsepur, Lodhinagar, Sadar, Farrukhabad, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.



(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

1. The Manager, Raksha Devi Uchchatarr Madhyamik Vidhyalaya, Khimsepur, 760, Lodhinagar, Sadar, Farrukhabad, Uttar Pradesh – 209625.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

2

F.No.89-284/E-171808/2020 Appeal/4th Mtg.-2021/21st January, 2021**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 15/02/2021

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Shri Durgamata Bahuuddeshiya Krida Va Shaikshanik Sanstha, Mouza Ukali, Po-Digras, Old Darwha Road Digras, Yavat Mal, Maharashtra dated 04/12/2020 is against the Order No. WRC/APW000293/123052/321st /2020/213086 to 213091 dated 20.12.2020 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "Recognition was granted to the institution on 05.06.2007 on rented premises with a condition to shift the institution in its own premises within a period of three years from the date of issue of recognition order. The institution has not shifted the institution in its own premises till date. Accordingly, Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 28.09.2020. The institution has not submitted the reply of the Show Cause Notice till date."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Umesh S Patil, Office Superintendent, Shri Durgamata Bahuuddeshiya Krida Va Shaikshanik Sanstha, Mouza Ukali, Po-Digras, Old Darwha Road Digras, Yavat Mal, Maharashtra presented the case of the appellant institution on 21/01/2021. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "Reply to Show Cause Notice was given on 27/10/2020 by speed post. Also, the institution is operating from the address where it was granted recognition in 2007. The Land & building of the institution was got transferred in the name of institution in 2012 and intimation was given to WRC on 01/06/2012."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that a Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 28/09/2020 was issued to appellant institution seeking representation on the status of its shifting college premises from rented to its own land and building. The impugned order is on the ground that appellant did not submit reply to S.C.N.

Resubmitted

AND WHEREAS appellant in the course of appeal hearing on 21/01/2021 submitted copy of its reply dated 27/10/2020 to the SCN by which WRC was informed that appellant institution continues to function at the same address which was subsequently, in the year 2012, acquired by the institution through a Gift deed.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee decided that appellant institution is required to submit to WRC copy of its letter dated 27/10/2020 along with enclosures. Appeal Committee further decided to remand back the case to WRC for revisiting the matter on getting relevant required documents from the appellant institution.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral submissions made during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded that appellant institution is required to submit to WRC copy of its letter dated 27/10/2020 along with enclosures. Appeal Committee further decided to remand back the case to WRC for revisiting the matter on getting relevant required documents from the appellant institution.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Shri Durgamata Bahuuddeshiya Krida Va Shaikshanik Sanstha, Mouza Ukali, Po-Digras, Old Darwha Road Digras, Yavat Mal, Maharashtra to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary/Appellant, Shri Durgamata Bahuuddeshiya Krida Va Shaikshanik Sanstha, Mouza Ukali, Po-Digras, Old Darwha Road Digras, Yavat Mal, Maharashtra – 445203.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Maharashtra, Mumbai.



F.No.89-285/E-171810/2020 Appeal/4th Mtg.-2021/21st January, 2021

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 15/02/2021

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Shri Durgamata Bahuuddeshya V. Krida and Shaikshaink Sanstha, Balsond, Panchayat Samiti Road, Hingoli, Maharashtra dated 04/12/2020 is against the Order No. WRC/APW00760/123094/322nd/2020/213044 to 213050 dated 19.12.2020 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "recognition was granted to the institution on 05.06.2007 on rented premises with a condition to shift the institution in its own premises within a period of three years from the date of issue of recognition order. The institution has not shifted the institution in its own premises till date. Accordingly, Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 28.09.2020. The institution has not submitted the reply of the Show Cause Notice till date."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Umesh S. Patil, Office Superintendent, Shri Durgamata Bahuuddeshya V. Krida and Shaikshaink Sanstha, Balsond, Panchayat Samiti Road, Hingoli, Maharashtra presented the case of the appellant institution on 21/01/2021. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "Reply to Show Cause Notice was given on 27/10/2020 by speed post. Also, the institution is operating from the address where it was granted recognition in 2007. The Land & building of the institution was got transferred in the name of institution in 2012 and intimation was given to WRC on 01/06/2012."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that a Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 28/09/2020 was issued to appellant institution seeking representation on the status of its shifting college premises from rented to its own land and building. The impugned order is on the ground that appellant did not submit reply to S.C.N.

Resubmitted

AND WHEREAS appellant in the course of appeal hearing on 21/01/2021 submitted copy of its reply dated 27/10/2020 to the SCN by which WRC was informed that appellant institution continues to function at the same address which was subsequently, in the year 2012, acquired by the institution through a Gift deed.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee decided that appellant institution is required to submit to WRC copy of its letter dated 27/10/2020 along with enclosures. Appeal Committee further decided to remand back the case to WRC for revisiting the matter on getting relevant required documents from the appellant institution.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral submissions made during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded that appellant institution is required to submit to WRC copy of its letter dated 27/10/2020 along with enclosures. Appeal Committee further decided to remand back the case to WRC for revisiting the matter on getting relevant required documents from the appellant institution.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Shri Durgamata Bahuuddeshya V. Krida and Shaikshaink Sanstha, Balsond, Panchayat Samiti Road, Hingoli, Maharashtra to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

1. The Off. Superintendent, Shri Durgamata Bahuuddeshya V. Krida and Shaikshaink Sanstha, Balsond, 67, Panchayat Samiti Road, Hingoli, Maharashtra – 431513.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Maharashtra, Mumbai.

4

F.No.89-286/E-171949/2020 Appeal/4th Mtg.-2021/21st January, 2021**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 15/02/2021

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Enrich Christian College of Education, Marriguda, Nalgonda, Hyderabad, Telangana dated 05/12/2020 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/APS00270/B.Ed.{TS}/2020/120570 dated 06.11.2020 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "Institution has submitted a Notarized copy of Land Document whereas a Certified copy of it not submitted even after issuance of Show Cause Notices. The institution has submitted a Notarized copy of Building Plan in which approving authority seal is in regional language and multi-purpose hall is not mentioned which is essentially required under NCTE Regulations, 2014. The institution has failed to submit Faculty List in a prescribed format of NCTE for the latest academic session."

AND WHEREAS Sh. P. Vijaya Rama Rao, Secretary, Enrich Christian College of Education, Marriguda, Nalgonda, Hyderabad, Telangana presented the case of the appellant institution on 21/01/2021. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "In our opinion the notarized copy is equivalent to certified copy, so we sent the notarized copy. After receiving the withdrawal notice from SRC we arranged certified copy of land documents truly signed by Sub-Registrar and same is submitted. The approving authority in its general practice they use the regional language seal now by the request they accepted to change seal in English and given approval for the plan in which multi propose hall is clearly mentioned and same is submitted. We submitted the facility list approved by the affiliating University duly attested by the Registrar now we are submitting the list in prescribed format duly attested by the Registrar Mahatma Gandhi University, Nalgonda."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution is recognised to conduct B.Ed. programme since 2003 and has rectified the deficiency of documents intimated to it by Show Cause Notice. Appeal Committee decided that appellant institution is required to submit to SRC within 15 days of issue of appeal order, (i) originally certified copy of land deed, (ii) notarised copy of Building completion Certificate, (iii) Original list of faculty in prescribed performa for the latest academic year. Appeal Committee further decided to remand back the case to SRC for revisiting the matter.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded that appellant institution is required to submit to SRC within 15 days of issue of appeal order, (i) originally certified copy of land deed, (ii) notarised copy of Building completion Certificate, (iii) Original list of faculty in prescribed performa for the latest academic year. Appeal Committee further decided to remand back the case to SRC for revisiting the matter.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Enrich Christian College of Education, Marriguda, Nalgonda, Hyderabad, Telangana to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Enrich Christian College of Education, Marriguda, 1-13, Nalgonda, Hyderabad, Telangana – 508245.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Telangana, Hyderabad.

(5)

F.No.89-287/E-171953/2020 Appeal/4th Mtg.-2021/21st January, 2021**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 15/02/2021

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Sairam Teacher Training Institute, Sirkali, Pidari West Street, Nagapattinam, Tamil Nadu dated 04/12/2020 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/APSO0782/KA/D.T.Ed./2020/119791 dated 21.10.2020 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for D.El.Ed.-OLD Course on the grounds that "the SRC considered that there is no provision in NCTE Regulations, 2014 to treat upcoming session as idle."

AND WHEREAS Sh. K. Raja, Chairman, Sairam Teacher Training Institute, Sirkali, Pidari West Street, Nagapattinam, Tamil Nadu presented online the case of the appellant institution on 21/01/2021. In the appeal and during online presentation it was submitted that "Sairam Teacher Training Institute started 2004 having all infrastructural and instructional facilities as per NCTE Norms. So, management ready to present all document proof for the Final Show Cause Notice u/s 17 issued to us."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that a final Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 01/09/2020 was issued to appellant institution seeking information on shifting of land and building. Appeal Committee further noted from the regulatory file that in the agenda notes for 387th Meeting of SRC it is mentioned that "the gift deed for the land is clearly in favour of the Trust. The shifting condition is therefore, removed."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution instead of submitting a written representation on the point mentioned in the SCN dated 01/09/2020 requested SRC by its letter dated 17/09/2020 to allow idling of the programme for reasons of constant poor admission in the programme.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that SRC considering the request of appellant institution and treating it as request for voluntary withdrawal, issued impugned order of withdrawal dated 21/11/2020.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee now considering the submissions, made by appellant, to continue conducting of programme, decided that appellant institution is required to submit within 15 days of the issue of appeal order a proper reply to the final Show Cause Notice dated 01/09/2020. Appellant institution should also clearly state that whether or not shifting of building from where the course was being conducted since inception, was required and whether it had received prior permission in case shifting was involved.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to SRC for revisiting the matter after the appellant submits information as required in para 6 above.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and online arguments advanced during the appeal hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to SRC for revisiting the matter.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Sairam Teacher Training Institute, Sirkali, Pidari West Street, Nagapattinam, Tamil Nadu to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.


(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
 Member Secretary

1. **The Chairman, Sairam Teacher Training Institute, Sirkali, Pidari West Street Sirkali, Nagapattinam, Tamil Nadu – 609110.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Tamil Nadu, Chennai.

6



F.No.89-290/E-172171/2020 Appeal/4th Mtg.-2021/21st January, 2021

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 15/02/2021

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of B.S.S. B.Ed. Training College, Alathur, Palakkad, Kerala dated 09/12/2020 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/APS00714/B.Ed./391th/{KL}/2020/120730 dated 09.11.2020 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "Photocopy of Staff List approved by Registrar; University of Calicut submitted vide dated 03.09.2020 consisting of one principal & Seven Assistant Professor against the requirement of 1+9=10 faculty stipulated under Appendix 4 of NCTE Regulations, 2014. Percentage of marks in r/o Lecturer English, namely "Smt. Meera TN" in Education at (S.No.03) is 51.88% which less than 55% in postgraduation. Percentage of marks in r/o Lecturer English, namely "Smt. Jini M. George" in Education at (S.No.06) is 50% less than 55% in post-graduation. Three are part time lecturers appointed against full time faculty are as following:- Ms. Sruthi S. (Asst. Prof.) -Part Time. Mr. Santhosh V. (Assistant Prof.) -Part Time. Mr. Sangardas PH (Assistant Prof. in music) -Part Time. The institution submitted a photocopy of the Building Plan but the same is not readable, hence, the Committee was unable to analyse the size of classrooms, M.P. Hall, Labs, Library etc. as required under NCTE Regulations, 2014."

AND WHEREAS the Representatives of B.S.S. B.Ed. Training College, Alathur, Palakkad, Kerala presented online the case of the appellant institution on 21/01/2021. In the appeal and during online submissions it was stated that "Appellant had produced the original staff list approved by the University. The appellant had not submitted the photocopy as stated by the SRC in the order of withdrawal of recognition. The appellant college is functioning only with one unit of 50 students in the same premise where recognition was originally granted in the year 2005. As per note (i) to clause 5.1 of the Appendix 4 of the NCTE Regulations, 2014, the appellant is required to have only 8 faculty

Kesava

if the college is working only with one unit. Smt. Meera T.N. was appointed in the appellant college in the year 2010 as per the NCTE Regulations, 2009. As per NCTE Regulations 2009, faculty should possess the following qualification (i) Master's Degree in Science/Humanities/Arts with fifty percent marks (ii) M.Ed. with at least fifty five percent marks or its equivalent grade and (iii) Any other stipulation prescribed by the UGC / affiliating body / State Govt. from time to time for the positions of principal and lecturers shall be mandatory. The said faculty was appointed as per the NCTE Regulations of 2009, which permitted the appointment with the above qualification. She is still continuing without any break. Her appointment and qualifications were intimated in 2015 while the details were provided to reduce the number of intake and the same was approved by the SRC. It is without considering the same, the SRC had stated that the faculty does not possess required qualification. Smt. Jini M. George was appointed in the college in the year 2011 as per the NCTE Regulations, 2009. The said faculty was appointed as per the NCTE Regulations 2009. There is no legal impediment in appointing part time teachers for conducting the classes. Nowhere in the regulations it is mentioned that the part time teachers cannot be appointed. There is no legal prohibition in appointing part time teachers for conducting classes. In fact SRC had withdrawn the recognition of certain colleges for not appointing part time teachers for conducting courses. The said stand taken by the SRC is contradictory and is without any specific reasoning. The institution had submitted legible copy of the building plan. However, in order to curb further complication, the appellant college is submitting another copy of the building plan along with this appeal indicating all the details as stated in the order of withdrawal of recognition."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted the submissions made by appellant. The intake of B.Ed. programme granted to appellant institution was reduced by SRC by an order dated 13/09/2017 and appellant institution has accordingly submitted a list of faculty to SRC which is found placed at pp. 720 to 723/cor. of regulatory file. This list submitted by appellant institution contains the names of a Principal and 7 faculty all of which were appointed prior to 2013 in accordance with the regulatory provisions applicable at the time of their appointment. In addition to these faculty appointed on regular basis, appellant

Handwritten signature

institution had appointed three faculty in the field of I.T., Physical Education and Music on part time basis. Appellant institution has also stated that it continues to function from the same address and submitted legible copy of building plan. Appeal Committee decided that the case deserves to be remanded back to SRC for revisiting the matter after the appellant submits to SRC within 15 days of the issue of appeal order a legible copy of building plan duly approved by Municipal Authority.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee concluded that the case deserves to be remanded back to SRC for revisiting the matter after the appellant submits to SRC within 15 days of the issue of appeal order a legible copy of building plan duly approved by Municipal Authority.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of B.S.S. B.Ed. Training College, Alathur, Palakkad, Kerala to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

1. **The President, B.S.S. B.Ed. Training College, Alathur, 447/549, Alathur, Palakkad, Kerala – 678541.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram.

7



F.No.89-291/E-172246/2020 Appeal/4th Mtg.-2021/21st January, 2021

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 15/02/2021

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Sree Sashta College of Education, Sembarambakkam, Chennai Bangalore Highway, Poonamallee, Thiruvallur, Tamil Nadu dated 27/10/2020 is against the Order No. NCTE/APSO7896/TN/M.Ed/2020/119851 dated 21.10.2020 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for M.Ed. Course on the grounds that "Institution has failed in submission of written representation along with all required documents in response to Final Show Cause Notice."

AND WHEREAS Dr. (Mrs.) T.S. Vimala, Principal, Sree Sashta College of Education, Sembarambakkam, Chennai Bangalore Highway, Poonamallee, Thiruvallur, Tamil Nadu presented online the case of the appellant institution on 21/01/2021. In the appeal and during online presentation it was submitted that "Show Cause Notice cited under reference was received for M.Ed. course. We have replied on 30.5.2019 vide our letter cited under reference. The Show cause Notice dated 12.12.2019 was received on 19.12.2019. The institution has failed in submission of reply along with all required documents in response to Final Show Cause Notice due to the following reasons: Some of the documents as per the Show cause notice should be got certified by the competent authorities and in regional language and also was applied to the concerned authorities. Hence, there was a delay in getting the certificates within the stipulated time of 21 days. We submit a letter stating the delay in getting the certificates and request for a further period of 1 month to submit the same. In the month of January-2020 in New Year there was a Pongal Celebration in Tamil Nadu after that competent authorities were doing dual work and in many seats appropriate persons were not available. So, there, was a delay in getting the related notarized documents and so we were not able to submit on time. Because of the COVID-19 pandemic situation. Meantime, the COVID-19 pandemic exists, all the staff have been deputed for COVID-19. The Institution remained completely

Handwritten signature

closed due to the pandemic. The Institution was reopened only during August 2020 with minimum staff and also we have not received the certified copies in time. Hence the reply for the Show Cause Notice was not submitted. Our Institution is NAAC Accredited, and we have submitted to PAR of NCTE instead of NAAC. Now the documents required in the Show Cause Notice dated 12.12.2019 are ready with us for submission. We request you to consider our appeal favourably and give another chance to submit the copy of the documents along with the original copies on any day at the earliest. As we are ready to submit the documents required in the show cause notice and the admission of first year of B.Ed. and M.Ed. courses are under process, it is requested to allow the admission for the year 2020-21 and duly advice to the University."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that there is a combined impugned withdrawal order dated 21/10/2020 withdrawing recognition for M.Ed. and B.Ed. programmes on grounds of institution having failed to submit written representation along with required documents in response to the Final Show Cause Notice dated 12/12/2019. Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had earlier responded on 30/05/2019 to the first Show Cause Notice dated 01/05/2019. Appeal Committee noted the reasons for delay in submitting reply to final Show Cause Notice which include some time in obtaining certified copies and then closure of offices due to Covid -19 pandemic. Appellant with its appeal memoranda has submitted copies of required documents.


AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee decided that appellant institution is required to submit to SRC within 15 days a complete and comprehensive reply to final Show Cause Notice enclosing therewith originals and copies of the required documents. Appeal Committee further decided to remand back the case to SRC for revisiting the matter after the appellant institution submits the required reply and documents.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of appeal, affidavit, documents on record and online submissions made during the appeal hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to SRC for revisiting the matter after the appellant institution submits the required reply and documents.

Kesav I. K.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Sree Sastha College of Education, Sembarambakkam, Chennai Bangalore Highway, Poonamallee, Thiruvallur, Tamil Nadu to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.



(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

1. The Principal, Sree Sastha College of Education, Sembarambakkam, 155/11, Chennai Bangalore Highway, Poonamallee, Thiruvallur, Tamil Nadu – 600123.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Tamil Nadu, Chennai.

8

F.No.89-292/E-172246/2020 Appeal/4th Mtg.-2021/21st January, 2021**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 15/02/2021

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Sree Sastha College of Education, Sembarambakkam, Chennai Bangalore Highway, Poonamallee, Thiruvallur, Tamil Nadu dated 27/10/2020 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/APSO4033/TN/B.Ed/2020/119851 dated 21.10.2020 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "Institution has failed in submission of written representation along with all required documents in response to Final Show Cause Notice."

AND WHEREAS Dr. (Mrs.) T.S. Vimala, Principal, Sree Sastha College of Education, Sembarambakkam, Chennai Bangalore Highway, Poonamallee, Thiruvallur, Tamil Nadu presented online the case of the appellant institution on 21/01/2021. In the appeal and during online presentation it was submitted that "The Show Cause Notice cited under reference was received for M.Ed. course only but we have not received the Notice dated 7.5.2019 for B.Ed. course. We have replied for both B.Ed. and M.Ed. courses separately on 30.5.2019 vide our letter cited under reference (2) & (3). The Show cause Notice dated 12.12.2019 was received on 19.12.2019. The institution has failed in submission of reply along with all required documents in response to Final Show Cause Notice due to the following reasons: Some of the documents as per the show cause notice should be got certified by the competent authorities and in regional language and also was applied to the concerned authorities. Hence, there was a delay in getting the certificates within the stipulated time of 21 days. We submit a letter stating the delay in getting the certificates and request for a further period of 1 month to submit the same. In the month of January-2020 in New Year there was a Pongal Celebration in Tamil Nadu after that competent authorities were doing dual work and in many seats appropriate persons were not available. So, There, was a delay in getting the related notarized documents and so we were not able to submit on time. Because of the COVID-19

pandemic situation. Meantime, the COVID-19 pandemic exists, all the staff have been deputed for COVID-19. The Institution remained completely closed due to the pandemic. The Institution was reopened only during August 2020 with minimum staff and also, we have not received the certified copies in time. Hence the reply for the Show Cause Notice was not submitted. Our Institution is NAAC Accredited, and we have submitted to PAR of NCTE instead of NAAC. Now the documents required in the Show Cause Notice dated 12.12.2019 are ready with us for submission. We request you to consider our appeal favourably and give another chance to submit the copy of the documents along with the original copies on any day at the earliest. As we are ready to submit the documents required in the show cause notice and the admission of first year of B.Ed. and M.Ed. courses are under process, it is requested to allow the admission for the year 2020-21 and duly advice to the University. Thanking you, Yours faithfully, Principal Sree Sastha College of Education mail ID: principal.bed@ssiet.in Mobile: 7397773374."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that there is a combined impugned withdrawal order dated 21/10/2020 withdrawing recognition for M.Ed. and B.Ed. programmes on grounds of institution having failed to submit written representation along with required documents in response to the Final Show Cause Notice dated 12/12/2019. Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had earlier responded on 30/05/2019 to the first Show Cause Notice dated 01/05/2019. Appeal Committee noted the reasons for delay in submitting reply to final Show Cause Notice which include some time in obtaining certified copies and then closure of offices due to Covid -19 pandemic. Appellant with its appeal memoranda has submitted copies of required documents.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee decided that appellant institution is required to submit to SRC within 15 days a complete and comprehensive reply to final Show Cause Notice enclosing therewith originals and copies of the required documents. Appeal Committee further decided to remand back the case to SRC for revisiting the matter after the appellant institution submits the required reply and documents.


AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of appeal, affidavit, documents on record and online submissions made during the appeal hearing, Appeal Committee

Vasanth

concluded to remand back the case to SRC for revisiting the matter after the appellant institution submits the required reply and documents.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Sree Sastha College of Education, Sembarambakkam, Chennai Bangalore Highway, Poonamallee, Thiruvallur, Tamil Nadu to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.



(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

- 1. The Principal, Sree Sastha College of Education, Sembarambakkam, 155/11, Chennai Bangalore Highway, Poonamallee, Thiruvallur, Tamil Nadu – 600123.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Tamil Nadu, Chennai.



F.No.89-293/E-172401/2020 Appeal/4th Mtg.-2021/21st January, 2021

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 15/02/2021

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Sarvodaya B.Ed. College, Simada Naka, Nana Varachha, Nr. SMC Community Hall, Surat, Gujarat dated 02/12/2020 is against the Order No. WRC/APW00225/323091/B.Ed./Guj./321st /2020/212438-39, 212441-44 dated 10.12.2020 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "recognition was granted to the institution on 21.04.2005 on rented premises with a condition to shift the institution in its own premises within a period of three years from the date of issue of recognition order. The institution has not shifted the institution in its own premises till date. Accordingly, Show Cause Noted was issued to the institution on 28.09.2020. The institution has not submitted the reply of the Show Cause Notice till date."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Patel Anil Kumar D., Trustee, Sarvodaya B.Ed. College, Simada Naka, Nana Varachha, Nr. SMC Community Hall, Surat, Gujarat presented the case of the appellant institution on 21/01/2021. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "Due to unavoidable circumstances trust has started institute in the year 2007 on new premises which was on 30 year rent agreement. Institute was communicating with NCTE regarding new address. In the 316th Meeting, there is no mention of the college name in the minutes and also, we did not receive Show Cause Notice dated 28/09/2020. Recognition is requested to be continued."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee on perusal of the regulatory file observed that appellant institution applied for seeking recognition and the following address was mentioned in the form of application from:

"Sarvoday B.Ed. College
263, Laxminarayan Society,

Handwritten signature in blue ink.

Dharamnagar, A.K. Road,
Surat – 8, Gujarat – 395008.”

Letter of recognition dated 21/04/2005 to affiliating body was issued and copy of this letter was endorsed to appellant institution at the above address. Appeal Committee noted that regulatory file contains a letter dated 01/07/2008 by which the trust informed WRC, Bhopal a new address as follows:-

“Sarvoday B.Ed. College
C/o Shir Swaminarayan Paramsuk Gurukul,
Nanvarcha – Surat.”

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that WRC had not taken any cognizance of the above letter as a Show Cause Notice (S.C.N.) dated 08/08/2016 for non-submission of affidavit for compliance of NCTE Regulation, 2014 and consequential non issue of revised recognition order was issued at Laxminarayan society address. The S.C.Ns dated 13.08.2020 and 28/09/2020 were also issued at Laxminarayan society dharamnagar address.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that after NCTE Regulation, 2014 coming into force and revised recognition orders having been issued to institutions for ensuring compliance of the Norms and Standards for 2 year B.Ed. programme, continuance of B.Ed. programme without revised recognition order having been issued, was not allowed and the earlier recognition order granted in 2005 ceased compulsorily.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that continuation of B.Ed. programme, without a revised recognition order in compliance of NCTE Regulation, 2014, cannot be allowed, WRC ought to have taken cognizance of the letter dated 01/07/2008 for issuance of SCNs from time to time. Appellant during the course of appeal hearing stated that it did neither have faculty nor admissions after 2016. Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to remand back the case to WRC for revisiting the matter in its totality.

Respectfully

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to WRC for revisiting the matter in its totality.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Sarvodaya B.Ed. College, Simada Naka, Nana Varachha, Nr. SMC Community Hall, Surat, Gujarat to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.



(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

1. The Trustee, Sarvodaya B.Ed. College, Simada Naka, Nana Varachha, Nr. SMC Community Hall, Surat, Gujarat – 395013.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Gujarat, Gandhinagar.

10

F.No.89-295/E-172652/2020 Appeal/4th Mtg.-2021/21st January, 2021**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 15/02/2021

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Azad B.Ed. College, Kuwarti, Guru Nanak Colony, Kuwarti, Bundi, Rajasthan dated 22/12/2020 is against the Order No. WRC/NCTE/RJ-525/B.Ed./321st/2020/212277 dated 04.12.2020 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The recognition was granted to the institution on 13.08.2006 on rented premises with a condition to shift the institution in its own premises within a period of three years from the date of issue of recognition order. The institution has not shifted the institution in its own premises till date. Accordingly, Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 27.09.2020. The institution has not submitted the reply of the Show Cause Notice till date. In view of the above, the Committee decided that the recognition of B.Ed. programme of the institution be withdrawn under clause 7(15) from the next academic session 2021-22."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Insaf Ali Azad, Secretary, Azad B.Ed. College, Kuwarti, Guru Nanak Colony, Kuwarti, Bundi, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 21/01/2021. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "Institution vide letter dated 30.04.2009 bearing no. SPL/ABC/2009 requested the office of NCTE to allow shifting of premises from rented to its own building. Based on the request of the institution NRC considered the matter in its 142nd meeting held on 14-16th June 2009 and directed the institution to submit the processing fees of Rs. 40,000/- along with requisite documents. Copy of the minutes is attached. Letter dated 25 June 2009 was issued to the institution conveying the decision of the NRC. It is humbly submitted that the institution vide letter dated 20.01.2010 bearing no. KKPSSK/50/010 submitted the requisite documents and application in the prescribed format under section 14 and 15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 alongwith Demand Draft No. 954439 for an amount of Rs. 40,000/- . It is further submitted that the said Demand Draft was revalidated on 25.11.2010 at the

request of NRC. Copy of the letter, documents alongwith Demand Draft is also placed on record. It is humbly submitted that the case of the institution was further considered in 256th meeting of NRC held on 22-25th August 2016 wherein it was noted that the reply from the institution has not been received and a Show Cause Notice dated 22.09.2016 under section 17 was issued to the institution. The said Show Cause specifically mentioned the fact that the institution had requested the NCTE vide letter dated 30.04.2009 for shifting from rented premises to own building. Copy of the Show Cause is also placed on record. It is submitted that the institution in reply to the said Show Cause Notice issued a letter dated 19.12.2016 having reference no. ABC/SPL/2016 alongwith one more Demand Draft bearing no. 074953 for an amount of Rs. 40,000/- alongwith requisite application and documents. Copy of the same is also placed on record for perusal. It is humbly submitted that this is a bizarre case wherein the institution despite having submitted the requisite fees and documents twice with the office of NCTE, however no cognizance was taken of the same. It is submitted that withdrawal order dated 04.12.2020 as passed is based on wrong facts. It is submitted that the Show Cause Dated 27.09.2020, as alleged to have been sent was never received by the institution. It is humbly submitted that the said show cause would not have been delivered since the institution is closed due to the Corona spread all over the country. The institution is closed since the lockdown has taken place. The institution has time and again replied and complied with all the requisite directions and norms of NCTE and shall assure to follow the same in future as well. Had the show cause been delivered the institution would have positively submitted its reply within time with all the requisite documents. The institution shall suffer gravely in case the recognition is not restored. The institution had vide letter dt. 18.11.20 and 21.12.2020 had also informed the NCTE about the details of the case, and issuance of Withdrawal order based on wrong facts."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted the two letters dated 25/06/2009 and 22/09/2016 of NRC wherein appellant institution was asked to deposit Rs. 40,000/- along with required documents for shifting.

AND WHEREAS on perusal of the regulatory file, Appeal Committee found that letter of appellant institution dated 19/12/2016 enclosing therewith a D/D of Rs. 40,000/-

Accepted

and shifting proposal is placed in the regulatory file. From further perusal of the letter it appears that appellant institution had earlier in the year 2010 also submitted the shifting proposal. The validity of the demand draft submitted in December, 2016 has expired as NRC (now WRC) had not taken any cognizance of the proposal and shifting fee.

AND WHEREAS appellant states to have not received the SCN dated 27/09/2020 on account of Covid- 19 pandemic. Appeal Committee, therefore, decided that let a Show Cause Notice be reissued after verifying the documents available on regulatory file. WRC may also fix responsibility for not depositing the demand draft in NCTE Account which is a pecuniary loss to NCTE.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to WRC for revisiting the matter holistically and expediting its decision within 4 weeks from the date of issue of appeal order.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to WRC for revisiting the matter holistically and expediting its decision within 4 weeks from the date of issue of appeal order.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Azad B.Ed. College, Kuwarti, Guru Nanak Colony, Kuwarti, Bundi, Rajasthan to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Azad B.Ed. College, Kuwarti, Guru Nanak Colony, Kuwarti, Bundi, Rajasthan – 323001.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

11



F.No.89-296/E-172648/2020 Appeal/4th Mtg.-2021/21st January, 2021

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 15/02/2021

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Muktir Shiksha College of Education, Kuchila, Gobardanga, Habra-1, North 24-Pargana, West Bengal dated 08/12/2020 is against the Order No. ER-259.12.3/ERCAPP2921/B.Ed./2018/57808 dated 28.08.2018 of the Eastern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course for one unit on the grounds that "The institution was inadvertently granted recognition in B.Ed. course with two basic units i.e. 100 intake capacity from academic session 2017-18 though the institution submitted 13 approved faculty list instead of 16 and also their affidavit on Rs. 100/- Non-Judicial stamp paper, the institution mentioned acceptance of one Unit only."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Ajitesh Halder, Secretary and Sh. Pisupati Venkata Sesha Sarma, Officer, Muktir Shiksha College of Education, Kuchila, Gobardanga, Habra-1, North 24-Pargana, West Bengal presented the case of the appellant institution on 21/01/2021. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "We had 17 teachers as approved by University from very beginning as list sent to ERC. Due to typing mistake the number was 50 instead of 100."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution was granted recognition for B.Ed. programme with an intake of 100 (Two basic units) from academic session 2017-18 by issue of an order dated 27/12/2016. Appeal Committee noted that Letter of Intent (L.O.I.) dated 25/05/2016 was issued mentioning the intake as one or two. Appeal Committee further noted that inspection of the appellant institution was conducted on 04/03/2016 with a proposed intake of 100 seats (2 units).

Resonance

AND WHEREAS appellant during the course of appeal hearing on 21/01/2021 submitted copies of two faculty list approved by the West Bengal University of Teacher Training Education. These lists were approved on 08/06/2017 and 22/12/2017 and are identical except the name of Principal. Appeal Committee noted that both these lists were approved by affiliating University after the date of recognition order and as such could not have been considered by ERC for granting recognition in December, 2016. So, obviously recognition granted in December, 2016 on the basis of faculty list containing only 1+12 names submitted by appellant institution with an affidavit dated 30/04/2016 seeking recognition for one unit was an erroneous one. Appellant voluntarily did not point out this error committed by the Regional Committee till the Committee itself detected it and issued the impugned order dated 28/08/2018.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that ERC was within its jurisdiction to have rectified the error committed by it without issuing a Show Cause Notice but the time taken to issue the rectification order is very crucial and important in this case. The recognition order dated 27/12/2016 allowed the course to be conducted from academic year 2017-18. By issue of the impugned order dated 28/08/2018, one unit was withdrawn from academic session 2018-19.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee considers that impugned order should have been made applicable from session 2019-20 and secondly when appellant institution, of late, provided faculty lists dated 08/06/2017 and 22/12/2017, ERC ought to have reconsidered the matter and retained the original intake of 2 units granted by order dated 27/12/2016.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee decided that the case of appellant institution deserves to be revisited keeping in view the revised lists of faculty dated 08/06/2017 and 22/12/2017 submitted by appellant to ERC.


AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded

Kesav I. Roy

that the case of appellant institution deserves to be revisited keeping in view the revised lists of faculty dated 08/06/2017 and 22/12/2017 submitted by appellant to ERC.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Muktir Shiksha College of Education, Kuchila, Gobardanga, Habra-1, North 24-Pargana, West Bengal to the ERC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.



(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Muktir Shiksha College of Education, Kuchila, 1180, 1181, 1182, Gobardanga, Habra to Hakimpur Road, Habra-1, North 24-Pargana, West Bengal – 743252.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of West Bengal, Kolkata.

12



F.No.89-297/E-172650/2020 Appeal/4th Mtg.-2021/21st January, 2021
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 15/02/2021

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Muktir Shiksha College of Education, Kuchila, Gobardanga, Habra-1, North 24-Pargana, West Bengal dated 09/12/2020 is against the Order No. ER-259.12.4/ERCAPP2926/D.El.Ed./2018/57809 dated 28.08.2018 of the Eastern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course for one unit on the grounds that "The institution was inadvertently granted recognition in D.El.Ed. course with two basic units i.e. 100 intake capacity from academic session 2017-18 though the institution submitted 13 approved faculty list instead of 16 and also their affidavit on Rs. 100/-. Non-Judicial stamp paper, the institution mentioned acceptance of one Unit only."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Ajitesh Halder, Secretary and Sh. Pisupati Venkata Sesha Sarma, Officer, Muktir Shiksha College of Education, Kuchila, Gobardanga, Habra-1, North 24-Pargana, West Bengal presented the case of the appellant institution on 21/01/2021. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "We had 17 teachers as approved by University from very beginning as list sent to ERC. Due to typing mistake the number was 50 instead of 100."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution was granted recognition for D.El.Ed. programme with an intake of 100 (Two basic units) from academic session 2017-18 by issue of an order dated 29/12/2016. Appeal Committee noted that Letter of Intent (L.O.I.) dated 25/05/2016 was issued mentioning the intake as one or two. Appeal Committee further noted that inspection of the appellant institution was conducted on 05/03/2016 with a proposed intake of 100 seats (2 units).

AND WHEREAS appellant during the course of appeal hearing on 21/01/2021 submitted copies of faculty list approved by the West Bengal Board of Primary Education.

These lists were approved on 20/07/2017 and 03/08/2018 and are identical except the name of H.O.D.. Appeal Committee noted that both these lists were approved by affiliating body after the date of recognition order and as such could not have been considered by ERC for granting recognition in December, 2016. So, obviously recognition granted in December, 2016 on the basis of faculty list containing only 1+12 names submitted by appellant institution with an affidavit dated 30/04/2016 seeking recognition for one unit was an erroneous one. Appellant voluntarily did not point out this error committed by the Regional Committee till the Committee itself detected it and issued the impugned order dated 28/08/2018.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that ERC was within its jurisdiction to have rectified the error committed by it without issuing a Show Cause Notice but the time taken to issue the rectification order is very crucial and important in this case. The recognition order dated 29/12/2016 allowed the course to be conducted from academic year 2017-18. By issue of the impugned order dated 28/08/2018, one unit was withdrawn from academic session 2018-19.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee considers that impugned order should have been made applicable from session 2019-20 and secondly when appellant institution, of late, provided faculty lists dated 20/07/2017 and 03/08/2018, ERC ought to have reconsidered the matter and retained the original intake of 2 units granted by order dated 29/12/2016.


AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee decided that the case of appellant institution deserves to be revisited keeping in view the revised lists of faculty dated 20/07/2017 and 03/08/2018 submitted by appellant to ERC.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded that the case of appellant institution deserves to be revisited keeping in view the revised lists of faculty dated 20/07/2017 and 03/08/2018 submitted by appellant to ERC.

Keep 1 Step

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Muktir Shiksha College of Education, Kuchila, Gobardanga, Habra-1, North 24-Pargana, West Bengal to the ERC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.



(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Muktir Shiksha College of Education, Kuchila, 1180, 1181, 1182, Gobardanga, Habra to Hakimpur Road, Habra-1, North 24-Pargana, West Bengal – 743252.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of West Bengal, Kolkata.

13

F.No.89-298/E-172636/2020 Appeal/4th Mtg.-2021/21st January, 2021**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 15/02/2021

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Rajkumari Sanatombi Devi College of Education, North AOC, Imphal Head Post Office, NH-2, Imphal, Manipur dated 21/12/2020 is against the Order No. ER-278.38/APE00250/M.Ed./2020/62144 dated 28.01.2020 of the Eastern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for M.Ed. Course on the grounds that "Teaching faculty mentioned at Sl. No. 1 & 2 are Guest Professor, which is not permitted as per NCTE Regulation, 2014. Building plan (BP) is not legible. One of the FDR is less than five lakh. Hence, FDR of Rs. 5 lakh & Rs. 7 lakh not maintained joint as per NCTE Regulation, 2014. Hence, M.Ed. course is withdrawn under section 17(1) of NCTE Act, 1993 from the next academic session 2020-21."

AND WHEREAS Sh. N.K. Singh, Assistant Professor and Sh. Y.S. Singh, Assistant Professor, Rajkumari Sanatombi Devi College of Education, North AOC, Imphal Head Post Office, NH-2, Imphal, Manipur presented the case of the appellant institution on 21/01/2021. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "The College is submitting faculty list of the M.Ed. Course duly signed by the affiliating University with appointment orders, required Affidavits and Experience Certificates. The College is submitting a redrawn Building Plan of the college duly signed by a registered Architect and countersigned by an Executive Engineer, PWD, Govt. of Manipur. The college maintained FDRs amounting Rs. 5 lakhs & Rs. 3 lakhs before implementation of the NCTE Regulation 2014. The same endowment & reserve fund were renewed amounting to Rs. 7,16,773.69 and Rs. 4,30,061.80 respectively as on 13/12/2019. One of the FDR is less than 5 lakhs. So, another FDR amounting Rs. 1 lakh dated 4/07/2020 was opened on joint operation mode in the name of R.K. Sanatombi Devi College of Education, Imphal and Regional Director, ERC, NCTE, Bhubaneswar."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution is conducting M.Ed. programme since 2004 and revised recognition order for an intake of 50 seats was issued in 2015. Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution has submitted documents with its appeal memoranda as evidence of having complied with the requirements removing the deficiencies on account of (i) replacement of two faculty, (ii) legible copy of building plan and, (ii) FDRs. Appeal Committee decided that appellant institution is required to submit to ERC within 15 days of the issue of appeal orders (i) original faculty list with approval letter of University, (ii) Building Plan and (iii) FDRs, Appeal Committee further decided to remand back the case to ERC for revisiting the matter.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded that appellant institution is required to submit to ERC within 15 days of the issue of appeal orders (i) original faculty list with approval letter of University, (ii) Building Plan and (iii) FDRs, Appeal Committee further decided to remand back the case to ERC for revisiting the matter.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Rajkumari Sanatombi Devi College of Education, North AOC, Imphal Head Post Office, NH-2, Imphal, Manipur to the ERC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.



(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary/Appellant, Rajkumari Sanatombi Devi College of Education, North AOC, 1658/1730, Imphal Head Post Office, NH-2, Imphal, Manipur – 795001.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Manipur, Imphal.